



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## Patent and Trademark Office

**Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS**  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
07/422,613	10/17/89	KUBERASAMPATH et al	CRP042

**EXAMINER**

NNutter

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
153	6

DATE MAILED:

**EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD**

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Robin Kelley (Attorney) (3) Nathan Nutter (Examiner)

(2) Edmund Pitcher (Attorney)

Date of interview 7 June 1990

Type:  Telephonic  Personal (copy is given to  applicant  applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes  No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement  was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question.  was not reached.

Claims discussed: all claims pending

Identification of prior art discussed: Wang et al (WO 88/00205), Jefferies (6370), Sampath et al (PNAS Vol 80), Thiele et al

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants presented a proposed amendment which if timely filed would overcome the rejection set out in Paper No. 5. Any and all responses will be fully considered.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

Matthew M. Mathews  
Examiner's Signature

**Examiner's Signature**